

I cannot really understand what the issue is here. I pay for my radio subscription so they should be able to put whatever they want on it. Isn't the whole argument about whether Satellite TV being allowed to offer local channels the same thing. But the difference is that XM isn't local, I go to college in Florida, and I can turn on the traffic for NYC while being down there. If the NAB is afraid of satellite radio monopolizing the radio industry, well it's pretty much impossible. The entry costs to set up a satellite radio service are very small. I strongly feel that local radio is bad radio. All boring ads and a few decent songs played over and over. Every local radio station is the same now, the morning show is a guy and a girl, telling the same stories over and over about what they did the last night. In Connecticut it's KC101 and BLI106 where down in Florida it's HOT 104.9. It's the same exact format. There are a few good stations out there, but they are few and far between. One is a classic rock station here in Fairfield County, CT. Whenever I'm home I listen to that over any other radio station FM or XM. Why? Because it's good radio. I think the "industry" needs a wakeup call to see that not everyone wants a boring station format. We want variety and that is what satellite offers. And if they are so threatened by that, then just play some different songs on their station and get some unique DeeJays. This is simple competition, what our economy is based upon. I hope instead of limiting XM radio, you make the right choice and force the NAB to tell it's stations to evolve into a better format to bring it's listeners back. Thank you, Gene Miller.